

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/682,957	11/02/2001	Andres Bryant	BUR920010071US1	3893	
23123	7590 05/14/2003			*	
SCHMEISER OLSEN & WATTS			EXAMINER		
18 E UNIVERSITY DRIVE SUITE # 101 MESA, AZ 85201			LEWIS, MONICA		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2822		
			DATE MAILED: 05/14/2003	DATE MAILED: 05/14/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 March 2003.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			A 'A				
Examin r   Art Unit   2822	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Monica Lewis   2822	Office Action Commons	09/682,957	BRYANT ET AL.				
Period for Repty  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Between the may be a waited under the previous of 37 CFR 1.75(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limitly filled  stream and the may be a valided under the previous of 37 CFR 1.75(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limitly filled  stream and the properties of the province of 37 CFR 1.75(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limitly filled  stream about for reply septicided above is less than think; (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thinly; (30) days will be considered threaty.  If No period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period via length of work will reply statutory be a communication of the previous of the properties of the prop	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - after SIX (s) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication.  - if the period for epily specified above, the maximum stablery period will apply and will expire SIX (s) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication.  - if NO period for epily specified above, the maximum stablery period will apply and will expire SIX (s) MANNY from the mailing date of this communication.  - if NO period for epily specified above, the maximum stablery period will apply and will expire SIX (s) MANNY from the mailing date of this communication.  - if NO period for epily specified above, the maximum stablery period will apply and will expire SIX (s) MANNY from the mailing date of this communication.  - if NO period for epily specified above, the maximum stablery period will apply and will expire SIX (s) MANNY from the mailing date of this communication.  - if NO period for epily specified above, the maximum stablery period will apply and will expire SIX (s) MANNY from the mailing date of this communication.  - Any reply reviewed by the Office alter than there more after than there more after than the present and the mailing date of this communication.  - Application size FINAL.  - 20)		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3°C FR 1.35(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SX (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication.  False to reply within the selection of the communication of the communi	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	bears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespond lice address				
1)	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3	•	M					
3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ○ Claim(s) 8-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ○ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ○ The drawing(s) filed on 02 November 2001 is/are: a) ○ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in his National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)							
Closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ○ Claim(s) 8-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s)			recognition as to the morits is				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in His National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  16) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  27) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 2November 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved by disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  10 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  50 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Claim(s) 8-20 is/are pending in the application	١.					
6  Claim(s) 8-20 is/are rejected.  7  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b objected by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b objected to pies of the priority documents have been received.  2  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  10  Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  51  Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  52  Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
7  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10  The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 November 2001</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved by disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
8	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-20</u> is/are rejected.						
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 02 November 2001 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3 ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  30 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
9	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 02 November 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application Papers						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  All Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	, <del></del>						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	,						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  All Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<del>-</del>						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	, <u> </u>						
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal					

Application/Control Number: 09/682,957

Art Unit: 2822

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This office action is in response to the amendment filed March 3, 2003.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 8-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wu et al.
- (U.S. Patent No. 5,994,747) in view of Houston (U.S. Patent No. 6,045,625).

In regards to claim 8, Wu et al. ("Wu") discloses the following:

- a) a semiconductor wafer overlying a semiconductor layer (2) (For Example: See Figure 9);
- b) a first recess and a second recess formed through the semiconductor layer (For Example: See Figure 9);
- c) a body (6) formed from the semiconductor layer situated between the first recess and the second recess, the body comprising a top body surface and a bottom body surface that defines a body thickness (For Example: See Figure 9);
- d) a source structure (14) formed into the first recess, the source structure comprising a source region (For Example: See Figure 9);
- e) a drain region formed into the second recess, the drain structure comprising a drain region (For Example: See Figure 9); and
- f) a top portion of the source structure and a top portion of the drain structure are within and abut the body thickness (For Example: See Figure 9).

In regards to claim 8, Wu fails to disclose the following:

a) a semiconductor layer overlying a buried insulator having at least two layers.

However, Houston discloses a semiconductor with an insulation layer that has various layers (For Example: See Figure 5e). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the semiconductor device of Wu to include an insulation layer that has various layers as disclosed in Houston because it aids in eliminating warping (For Example: See Column 1 Lines 49-62).

In regards to claim 9, Wu discloses the following:

a) a semiconductor layer (For Example: See Figure 9).

In regards to claim 9, Wu fails to disclose the following:

a) the first layer of the buried insulator.

However, Houston discloses a semiconductor with an insulation layer (For Example: See Figure 5e). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the semiconductor device of Wu to include an insulation layer as disclosed in Houston because it aids in eliminating warping (For Example: See Column 1 Lines 49-62).

In regards to claim 10, Wu discloses the following:

a) a semiconductor layer comprises single crystal silicon (For Example: See Column 3 Line 43).

In regards to claim 11, Wu fails to disclose the following:

a) the buried insulator comprises three layers, wherein a second layer is different from the first layer and a third layer.

However, Houston discloses a semiconductor with an insulation layer that has various layers (For Example: See Column 2 Lines 43-50). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the semiconductor device

of Wu to include an insulation layer that has various layers as disclosed in Houston because it aids in eliminating warping (For Example: See Column 1 Lines 49-62).

Page 4

In regards to claim 12, Wu fails to disclose the following:

a) the first layer comprises silicon dioxide, wherein the second layer comprises silicon nitride, wherein the third layer comprises silicon dioxide.

However, Houston discloses a semiconductor with insulation layers that has silicon dioxide and silicon nitride (For Example: See Column 2 Lines 43-50). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the semiconductor device of Wu to include an insulation layer that has silicon dioxide and silicon nitride as disclosed in Houston because it aids in providing low capacitance (For Example: See Column 2 Lines 43-60).

In regards to claim 13, Wu discloses the following:

a) a first recess and a second recess (For Example: See Figure 9).

In regards to claim 13, Wu fails to disclose the following:

a) a buried insulator.

However, Houston discloses a semiconductor with an insulation layer that has various layers (For Example: See Figure 5e). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the semiconductor device of Wu to include an insulation layer that has various layers as disclosed in Houston because it aids in eliminating warping (For Example: See Column 1 Lines 49-62).

Application/Control Number: 09/682,957

Art Unit: 2822

In regards to claim 14, Wu discloses the following:

a) the body comprises a fin structure that comprises a top fin structure surface a bottom fin structure surface that define a fin structure thickness, wherein the top portion of the source structure and the top portion of the drain structure are below said top fin structure, and wherein said source structure and said drain structure abut the fin structure (For Example: See Figure 9).

In regards to claim 15, Wu discloses the following:

- a) a silicon layer (For Example: See Figure 9);
- b) a first recess and a second recess formed through the semiconductor layer (For Example: See Figure 9); and
- c) a body formed from the semiconductor layer situated between the first recess and the second recess, the body comprising a top body surface and a bottom body surface that defines a body thickness (For Example: See Figure 9).

In regards to claim 15, Wu fails to disclose the following:

a) a silicon layer overlying on a buried insulator that comprises a first buried insulator on a second buried insulator different from the first buried insulator layer, wherein the first buried insulator layer is at least as thick as the silicon.

However, Houston discloses a semiconductor with an insulation layer that has various layers (For Example: See Figure 5e and Column 2 Lines 43-50). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the semiconductor device of Wu to include an insulation layer that has various layers as disclosed in Houston because it aids in eliminating warping (For Example: See Column 1 Lines 49-62).

In regards to claim 16, Wu fails to disclose the following:

a) the first buried insulator layer comprises silicon dioxide.

However, Houston discloses a semiconductor with an insulation layer that has silicon dioxide (For Example: See Column 2 Lines 43-50). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the semiconductor device

of Wu to include an insulation layer that has silicon dioxide as disclosed in Houston because it aids in providing low capacitance (For Example: See Column 2 Lines 43-60).

Page 6

In regards to claim 17, Wu fails to disclose the following:

a) the second buried insulator layer comprises silicon nitride.

However, Houston discloses a semiconductor with an insulation layer that has silicon nitride (For Example: See Column 2 Lines 43-50). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the semiconductor device of Wu to include an insulation layer that has silicon nitride as disclosed in Houston because it aids in providing low capacitance (For Example: See Column 2 Lines 43-60).

In regards to claim 18, Wu discloses the following:

a) a transistor (For Example: See Figure 9).

In regards to claim 19, Wu discloses the following:

a) the transistor comprises a source structure and a drain structure in said first recess and said second recess (For Example: See Figure 9).

In regards to claim 20, Wu discloses the following:

a) the transistor further comprises a fin structure (For Example: See Figure 9).

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed March 3, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that "no buried insulator (let alone two buried insulators as recited); and there is not recess that extends through the semiconductor layer." However, Houston discloses an insulation layer that has various layers (For Example: See Figure 5e). Houston discloses a crosshatch configuration that has continuous FET's. Therefore, Wu et al. ("Wu") and Art Unit: 2822

Houston read on the claimed invention. Finally, Wu does discloses a recess "formed through the semiconductor layer" as claimed (For Example: See Figure 9).

Page 7

Therefore, Applicant's arguments are not deemed persuasive.

### Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monica Lewis whose telephone number is 703-305-3743.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on 703-308-4905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-7722 for regular and after final

Application/Control Number: 09/682,957

Art Unit: 2822

communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

Page 8

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

ML

May 8, 2003

AMIR ZARABIAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800